

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9 ALEJANDRO MONTIJO, JR., and  
10 JOSEPH BANUELOS, JR.

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 STEVEN R. HRDLICKA,

14 Defendant.  
15

Case No. 1:20-cv-00125-DAD-EPG

SCREENING ORDER

ORDER FINDING SERVICE OF  
COMPLAINT APPROPRIATE AND  
FORWARDING SERVICE  
DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFFS

(ECF No. 1)

16 Plaintiffs, Alejandro Montijo, Jr., and Joseph Banuelos, Jr., are proceeding *in forma*  
17 *pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiffs initiated this  
18 action on January 22, 2020, with the filing of a complaint (ECF No. 1.)

19 The Court has screened Plaintiffs' complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and  
20 finds that the complaint states a cognizable claim under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,  
21 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, and California Business & Professions Code § 17200. The Court also  
22 finds the complaint appropriate for service of process. Accordingly, it is **HEREBY ORDERED**  
23 **that:**

- 24 1. This case proceed on Plaintiffs' claims under the Fair Debt Collection Practices  
25 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, and California Business & Professions Code  
26 § 17200.  
27 2. Service is appropriate for the following defendant:  
28 **a. Steven R. Hrdlicka.**

